arXiv:1303.1346v1 [math.GR] 6 Mar 2013

THE R_∞ PROPERTY FOR FREE GROUPS, FREE NILPOTENT GROUPS AND FREE SOLVABLE GROUPS

KAREL DEKIMPE AND DACIBERG GONÇALVES

Abstract. Let F be either a free nilpotent group of a given class and of finite rank or a free solvable group of a certain derived length and of finite rank. We show precisely which ones have the R_∞ property. Finally, we also show that the free group of infinite rank does not have the R_∞ property.

1. Introduction

Let G be a group and φ be an endomorphism of G. Then two elements x, y of G are said to be Reidemeister equivalent, if there exists a third element $z \in G$ such that $x = zy\varphi(z)^{-1}$. The equivalence classes are called the Reidemeister classes or twisted conjugacy classes. The Reidemeister number of φ, which we will denote by $R(\varphi)$, is the number of those Reidemeister classes of φ. This number is either a positive integer or ∞.

These Reidemeister numbers are a relevant ingredient for studying the fixed point properties of the homotopy class of a self map on a topological space. In this situation, the group G will be the fundamental group $\pi_1(X)$ of the space and the morphism $\varphi = f_\sharp$ is the one which is induced by the map f. Under certains hypothesis the Reidemeister number $R(\varphi)$ is then exactly the number of essential fixed point classes of f if $R(\varphi)$ is finite and the number of essential fixed point classes of f is zero if $R(\varphi)$ is infinite. See [J] and [W] and the references therein for more information.

A group G has the R_∞ property if for every automorphism φ of G the Reidemeister number is infinite. In recent years many works have studied the question of which groups G have the R_∞ property. We refer to [FLT] for an overview of the results which have been obtained in this direction. The present work will also give a contribution for this problem, where we will consider groups G from the families of free groups, free nilpotent groups and free solvable groups, of countable rank (finite and infinite). The results in our paper are closely related with those in [GW] and [Ro]

In this paper we will use F_r to denote the free group on $r > 1$ generators $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_r$. We say that F_r is the free group of rank r. Likewise, F_∞ will be used to refer to the free group on a countable number of generators $x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots$. When G is a group, we will use $\gamma_i(G)$ to denote the terms of its lower central series, i.e.

$$\gamma_1(G) = G,\ \gamma_{i+1}(G) = [\gamma_i(G), G] \text{ for } i > 0.$$

A group is said to be nilpotent of class $\leq c$ when $\gamma_{c+1}(G) = 1$. The group

$$N_{r,c} = F_r/\gamma_{c+1}(F_r)$$

is the free nilpotent group of class c and rank r, while the group

$$N_{\infty,c} = F_\infty/\gamma_{c+1}(F_\infty)$$

is free nilpotent of class c and countable rank.
The derived series of a group G is also defined recursively by

$$G^{(0)} = G,\ G^{(1)} = [G, G], \text{ and } G^{(i+1)} = [G^{(i)}, G^{(i)}], \text{ for } i > 0.$$

A group is said to be solvable with derived length $\leq k$ when $G^{(k)} = 1$. A solvable group with derived length ≤ 2 is also said to be metabelian.

The group
$$S_{r,k} = F_r / F_r^{(k)}$$
is the free solvable group of rank r and derived length k, while the group
$$S_{\infty,k} = F_\infty / F_\infty^{(k)}$$
is the free solvable group of countable rank and of derived length k.
Finally, we will also use
$$M_{r,c} = \frac{F_r}{\gamma_{c+1}(F_r) F_r^{(2)}},$$
which is the free c-step nilpotent and metabelian group of rank r, and
$$M_{\infty,c} = \frac{F_\infty}{\gamma_{c+1}(F_\infty) F_\infty^{(2)}},$$
which is the free c-step nilpotent and metabelian group of countable rank.
Note that there is a natural projection $N_{r,c} \to M_{r,c}$ and any automorphism of $N_{r,c}$ induces an automorphism of $M_{r,c}$.
In this paper we will completely classify which of the above introduced groups have the R_∞ property. Notoriously, we show that F_∞, the free group of infinite rank, does not have property R_∞.

2. The R_∞ property for free nilpotent groups of finite rank

In [Ro], it was shown that all free nilpotent groups $N_{r,c}$ have the R_∞ property provided $c \geq 2r$ (with the exception of $r = 3$, where it was required that $c \geq 12$). We will provide a new proof of this result and moreover, we will show that this result is sharp for $r \neq 3$ and we also provide the sharp result for $r = 3$. I.e. we will prove the following result in **Theorem 2.5**:

Theorem: Let $r > 1$ and $c \geq 1$ be positive integers.
Then $N_{r,c}$ has the R_∞–property if and only if $c \geq 2r$.

Before we are able to prove this theorem, we need to recall how to associate a Lie ring to a given group.

It is well known that to any group G, one can associate a graded Lie ring $L(G) = \displaystyle\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} L_i(G)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, where
$$L_i(G) = \gamma_i(G)/\gamma_{i+1}(G)$$
and the Lie bracket $[\cdot,\cdot]_L$ is completely determined by
$$\forall x \in \gamma_i(G), y \in \gamma_j(G) : \; [x + \gamma_{i+1}(G), y + \gamma_{j+1}(G)]_L = [x,y] + \gamma_{i+j+1}(G) \in L_{i+j}(G).$$
In this expression $[x,y]$ denotes the group commutator in G.
Any automorphism $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ determines an automorphism $\varphi_i \in \mathrm{Aut}(\gamma_i(G)/\gamma_{i+1}(G))$ and moreover, the linear map of $L(G)$ which restricted to $L_i(G)$ equals φ_i is a (graded) Lie ring automorphism.

Any endomorpism of a finitely generated abelian group A maps the torsion subgroup $\tau(A)$ of A to itself and hence this endomorphism induces an endomorphism of the free abelian group $A/\tau(A)$. When we talk about the eigenvalues of such a morphism, we will mean the eigenvalues of the morphism of $A/\tau(A) \cong \mathbb{Z}^d$, which can be seen as a $d \times d$–matrix with integer entries. The following result is known and is essentially Corollary 4.2 of [Ro].

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be a finitely generated nilpotent group. For any automorphism φ of G we let $\varphi_i \in \mathrm{Aut}(\gamma_i(G)/\gamma_{i+1}(G))$ denote the induced automorphism. Then we have that*
$$R(\varphi) = \infty \Leftrightarrow \exists i \text{ such that } \varphi_i \text{ has } 1 \text{ as an eigenvalue.}$$

Remark. *As G is finitely generated, then also all $\gamma_i(G)/\gamma_{i+1}(G)$ are finitely generated, and hence we can talk about the eigenvalues of φ_i. In* [Ro] *it was assumed that all $\gamma_i(G)/\gamma_{i+1}(G)$ are torsion free. However, there is no difficulty to generalize his result to the general case above.*

Now, for any group G, the automorphisms φ_i $(i > 1)$ are completely determined by the map φ_1. It is then also no surprise that for the nilpotent groups $N_{r,c}$ and $M_{r,c}$, we can express the eigenvalues of φ_i in terms of the eigenvalues of φ_1. This is the content of the following lemma.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $G = N_{r,c}$ or $G = M_{r,c}$. Let φ be an automorphism of G and let φ_i denote the induced automorphism of $\gamma_i(G)/\gamma_{i+1}(G)$. Let*

$$\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{C}$$

denote the eigenvalues of φ_1 (listed as many times as their multiplicity). Then any eigenvalue μ of φ_i (with $2 \leq i \leq c$) can be written as an i–fold product

$$\mu = \lambda_{j_1}\lambda_{j_2}\cdots\lambda_{j_i}.$$

Moreover, any such a product in which not all of the j_i are equal does appear as an eigenvalue of φ_i.

Proof. We present the proof in case $G = M_{r,c}$. The case where $G = N_{r,c}$ is analogous.
For $G = M_{r,c}$, the Lie ring $L(G)$ is the free c-step nilpotent and metabelian Lie ring over $\mathbb{Z}$.
Let φ_* denote the Lie ring automorphism of $L(G)$, which is induced by φ. It is obvious that the eigenvalues of φ_i are exactly the same as the eigenvalues of φ_* restricted to $L_i(G) = \gamma_i(G)/\gamma_{i+1}(G)$. In order to easily compute these eigenvalues, we will consider the complexification of $L(G)$:

$$L^{\mathbb{C}}(G) = L(G) \otimes \mathbb{C} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} L_i(G) \otimes \mathbb{C}.$$

Then φ_* can also be seen as an automorphism of the Lie algebra $L^{\mathbb{C}}(G)$. As $\mathrm{Aut}(L^{\mathbb{C}}(G))$ is an algebraic group, we know that also the semi-simple part φ_*^s of φ_* is an automorphism of $L^{\mathbb{C}}(G)$. The automorphism φ_*^s also preserves the grading of $L(G)$ and moreover, for any $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ the restrictions of φ_* and φ_*^s to $L_i^{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ have the same eigenvalues. Hence, we can assume that φ_* itself is semi-simple.
Let us fix a basis $X_1,\ X_2, \ldots, X_r$ of $L_1^{\mathbb{C}}(G)$ consisting of eigenvectors for φ_* where X_j is an eigenvector for the eigenvalue λ_j.
Now, it is a well known result due to Chen ([C]) that $L_i(G)$ has a basis consisting of the vectors

$$[\ldots[[[x_{j_1}, x_{j_2}]_L, x_{j_3}]_L, x_{j_4}]_L, \ldots, x_{j_i}]_L \quad \text{with} \quad j_1 > j_2 \leq j_3 \leq j_4 \leq \cdots \leq j_i.$$

It is now obvious that these vectors are also eigenvectors for φ_* with respect to the eigenvalues

$$\lambda_{j_1}\lambda_{j_2}\lambda_{j_3}\lambda_{j_4}\cdots\lambda j_i.$$

This proves the lemma. □

Having the lemmas above in mind, it should not come as a surprise that the following proposition will be very useful in proving our results on the R_∞–property for free nilpotent groups of finite rank.

Proposition 2.3. *For every positive integer r there exists a matrix $A_r \in \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{Z})$ with r distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_r$ and such that*

$$\forall k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 2r-1\},\ \forall i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\} :\ \lambda_{i_1}\lambda_{i_2}\cdots\lambda_{i_k} \neq 1.$$

Remark: this is really the best possible result, because for $k = 2r$, we have that the following product

$$\lambda_1\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_2\ldots\lambda_r\lambda_r = \det(A_r)^2 = 1.$$

Note that we must also have that A_r has determinant -1.

The idea for proving this lemma is to make use of so called Pisot numbers (also called Pisot–Vijayaraghavan numbers).
A Pisot number is a real algebraic integer θ greater than 1, such that all conjugates of θ lie strictly inside the unit disk (so are of modulus < 1). Actually, for us, θ does not have to be positive, we only need $|\theta| > 1$.

In the following lemma, we show how one can construct such numbers of any degree and prove some interesting properties about them.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $n > 1$ be an integer and let $p(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \cdots + a_2x^2 + a_1x + (-1)^{n+1}$, for some integers a_i with $|a_{n-1}| > |a_{n-2}| + \cdots + |a_2| + |a_1| + 2$*
Then

1. *$p(x)$ has one real root θ_1 with $|\theta_1| > 1$ and all other roots lie strictly inside the unit circle.*
2. *$p(x)$ is an irreducible polynomial over $\mathbb{Q}$ and hence $p(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of θ_1.*
3. *If $\theta_2, \theta_3, \ldots, \theta_n \in \mathbb{C}$ denote the other roots of $p(x)$, then $\theta_1\theta_2 \ldots \theta_n = -1$.*
4. *If for some $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have that $\theta_1^{d_1}\theta_2^{d_2} \ldots \theta_n^{d_n} = 1$, then there exists an integer $z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d_1 = d_2 = \cdots = d_n = 2z$.*

Proof.

1. This is a well known consequence of Rouché's Theorem. E.g. see theorem 2.2.5 and its proof on page 56 of [Pr].
2. Suppose that $p(x) = q(x)r(x)$, where $q(x)$ and $r(x)$ are monic polynomials, then both $q(x), r(x) \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. But one of these polynomials, say $q(x)$, only has (non zero) roots of modulus < 1. But then the constant term of $q(x)$ is, up to sign, the product of these roots and hence is a non-zero integer of modulus < 1, which is a contradiction. It follows that $p(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of θ_1.
3. Note that the constant term of $p(x) = (-1)^n\theta_1\theta_2 \ldots \theta_n$, showing that $\theta_1\theta_2 \ldots \theta_n = -1$
4. This part is essentially Proposition 3.1 of [BH]. For the reader's convenience, we recall the argument here.
   Suppose that
   $$\theta_1^{d_1}\theta_2^{d_2} \ldots \theta_n^{d_n} = 1$$
   Now, let $m = \min\{d_1, d_2, \ldots d_n\}$, then
   $$\theta_1^{d_1-m}\theta_2^{d_2-m} \ldots \theta_n^{d_n-m} = (\theta_1\theta_2 \ldots \theta_n)^{-m} = (-1)^m.$$
   Note that $d_i - m \geq 0$ for all i and that there exists a k such that $d_k - m = 0$. As the Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_n)$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ acts transitively on the set $\{\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_n\}$, there exists a σ in this Galois group mapping θ_k onto θ_1. By applying σ to both sides of the equation above, we may assume that $d_1 - m = 0$. But then
   $$\theta_2^{d_2-m} \ldots \theta_n^{d_n-m} = (-1)^m$$
   where the left hand side consists of a product of powers of elements θ_i of modulus < 1. This equation can only be satisfied when all $d_i - m = 0$ and m is even. Hence we have shown that $\exists z \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that
   $$d_1 = d_2 = \cdots = d_n = 2z.$$

□

We are now ready for:

Proof of Proposition 2.3. For $r = 1$, we consider the 1×1–matrix (-1).
For $r > 1$ we let A_r be the companion matrix of the polynomial $p(x) = x^r - 3x^{r-1} + (-1)^{r+1}$. Then, the characteristic polynomial of A_r is exactly $p(x)$, which satisfies the criteria of lemma 2.4, and the last part of lemma above shows that the eigenvalues of A_r, which are the roots of $p(x)$, satisfy the condition claimed in the proposition.

□

Theorem 2.5. *Let r, c be positive integers, with $r > 1$. Let $G = N_{c,r}$ or $G = M_{c,r}$.*
Then G has property $R_\infty \Leftrightarrow c \geq 2r$

Proof. Let φ be an automorphism of G and let φ_1 be the induced automorphism on $G/\gamma_2(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ and denote the eigenvalues of φ_1 by $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_r$.

By lemma 2.2 we know that the eigenvalues of the induced automorphism φ_i on the quotient $\gamma_i(G)/\gamma_{i+1}(G)$ consist of products of the form

$$\lambda_{j_1}\lambda_{j_2}\ldots\lambda_{j_i}.$$

Now, if $c \geq 2r$, we can consider the quotient $\gamma_{2r}(G)/\gamma_{2r+1}(G)$, on which the induced automorphism has

$$(\lambda_1\lambda_2\cdots\lambda_r)^2 = \det(A)^2 = 1$$

as one of its eigenvalues. Hence $R(\varphi) = \infty$, by lemma 2.1.

On the other hand, if $c < 2r$, then consider an automorphism φ of G inducing the automorphism A_r from proposition 2.3 on $G/\gamma_2(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$. This is possible since it is known that the natural map

$$\mathrm{Aut}(F_r) \to \mathrm{Aut}\left(\frac{F_r}{\gamma_2(F_r)}\right) = \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{Z})$$

is onto. From this, it easily follows that also the natural map

$$\mathrm{Aut}(G) \to \mathrm{Aut}(G/\gamma_2(G)) = \mathrm{GL}(r, \mathbb{Z})$$

is onto, see [MKS] Theorem $N4$, section 3.5.
Then the properties of A_r given in proposition 2.3, together with lemma 2.1 imply that $R(\varphi) < \infty$, showing that G does not have property R_∞.

□

3. Free soluble groups.

Now we consider the free solvable groups of rank $r > 1$ and derived length k i.e. $S_{r,k} = F_r/F_r^{(k)}$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $r > 1$ be an integer. The group $S_{r,1} = F_r/[F_r, F_r] = F_r/F_r^{(1)} \cong \mathbb{Z}^r$ does not have the R_∞ property. On the other hand the groups $S_{r,k} = F_r/F_r^{(k)}$ have property R_∞ for all $k \geq 2$.*

Proof. The result for $k = 1$ is well known, so let $k > 1$.
As $S_{r,2} = \dfrac{S_{r,k}}{S_{r,k}^{(2)}}$ is a quotient of $S_{r,k}$ by a characteristic subgroup, it is enough to prove that $S_{r,2}$ has property R_∞. Now, we choose $c \geq 2r$ and consider the quotient

$$\frac{S_{r,2}}{\gamma_{c+1}(S_{r,2})} = \frac{F_r}{\gamma_{c+1}(F_r)F_r^{(2)}} = M_{r,c}.$$

By theorem 2.5 we know that $M_{r,c}$ has property R_∞ and hence so does $S_{r,2}$ and all groups $S_{r,k}$ for $k \geq 2$. □

4. Free nilpotent groups with a countable number of generators

In this section we will construct a very explicit example of an automorphism φ_c of $N_{\infty,c}$ (for any $c \geq 1$), such that $R(\varphi_c) = 1$. It follows that $N_{\infty,c}$ does not have property R_∞. This last fact will also follow from the next section, but the results in that section are far from being so explicit as the ones in this section.

So, we will consider F_∞, the free group on an infinite but still countable number of generators x_0, x_1, x_2, $x_3, \ldots$. Let $\varphi \in \mathrm{Aut}(F_\infty)$ be the automorphism determined by

$$\varphi(x_0) = x_0,\ \forall i > 0 : \varphi(x_i) = x_{i-1}x_i$$

and let $\varphi_c \in \mathrm{Aut}(N_{\infty,c})$ be the induced automorphism on $N_{\infty,c}$. (It is easy to see that φ does determine an automorphism of F_∞ and hence also induces one on $N_{\infty,c}$).

Lemma 4.1. *Let n be a postive integer, then*

$$\forall x \in \gamma_n(F_\infty),\ \exists y \in \gamma_n(F_\infty) :\ x\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty) = y\varphi(y)^{-1}\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty).$$

Proof. We use induction on n.
Let $n = 1$, then for any generator x_i, we have that

$$x_i\gamma_2(F_\infty) = x_{i+1}^{-1}\varphi(x_{i+1}^{-1})^{-1}\gamma_2(F_\infty).$$

As $F_\infty/\gamma_2(F_\infty)$ is a free abelian group on the generators $x_i\gamma_2(F_\infty)$, we can conclude that for all $x\gamma_2(F_\infty)$ there exists a $y\gamma_2(F_\infty)$ such that $x\gamma_2(F_\infty) = y\varphi(y)^{-1}\gamma_2(F_\infty)$, which implies that the lemma holds for $n = 1$.
Now assume that $n > 1$ and the lemma holds up to the case $n - 1$. The group $\gamma_n(F_\infty)/\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty)$ is generated by the cosets of elements of the form $[x_i, y]$ where $i \geq 0$ and $y \in \gamma_{n-1}(F_\infty)$.

To prove the lemma, it is enough to show that any coset $[x_i, y]\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty)$ of such an element is the product of cosets of the form $z\varphi(z)^{-1}\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty)$ for some $z \in \gamma_n(F_\infty)$ (use that $\gamma_n(F_\infty)/\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty)$ is central in $F_\infty/\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty)$).
We will prove this last claim by induction on i. Let $i = 0$. As we assume that the lemma is valid up to $n - 1$, there exists a $w \in \gamma_{n-1}(F_\infty)$ such that

$$y\gamma_n(F_\infty) = w\varphi(w)^{-1}\gamma_n(F_\infty). \tag{1}$$

Using this, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
[x_0, y]\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty) &= [x_0, w\varphi(w)^{-1}]\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty) \\
&= [x_0, w][x_0, \varphi(w)^{-1}]\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty) \\
&= [x_0, w][x_0, \varphi(w)]^{-1}\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty) \\
&= [x_0, w](\varphi[x_0, w])^{-1}\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty) \\
&= z\varphi(z)^{-1}\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty) \text{ for } z = [x_0, w] \in \gamma_n(F_\infty).
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let $i > 0$ and assume we already proved our claim for all generators $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}$. Again, we use the relation (1) in the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned}
[x_i, y]\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty) &= [x_i, w\varphi(w)^{-1}]\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty) \\
&= [x_i, w][x_i, \varphi(w)^{-1}]\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty) \\
&= [x_i, w][x_{i-1}^{-1}\varphi(x_i), \varphi(w)^{-1}]\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty) \\
&= [x_i, w][x_{i-1}^{-1}, \varphi(w)^{-1}][\varphi(x_i), \varphi(w)^{-1}]\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty) \\
&= [x_{i-1}, \varphi(w)][x_i, w]\varphi([x_i, w])^{-1}\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty)
\end{aligned}$$

which by the induction hypothesis on i is a product of elements of the form $z\varphi(z)^{-1}\gamma_{n+1}(F_\infty)$ with $z \in \gamma_n(F_\infty)$. This concludes the proof of the lemma. □

Proposition 4.2. *Let $c > 0$ be a positive integer and let φ_c be the automorphism of $N_{\infty,c} = F_\infty/\gamma_{c+1}(F_\infty)$ which is induced by φ. Then $R(\varphi_c) = 1$. In particular, the free nilpotent group $N_{\infty,c}$ of class c on an infinite countable number of generators does not have the R_∞ property.*

Proof. Again we proceed by induction. The case $c = 1$ is clear, because the previous lemma shows that the map Id$-\varphi_1$ is a surjective map on $N_{\infty,1} = F_\infty/\gamma_2(F_\infty)$.
Now, let $c > 1$ and assume that the proposition holds up to $c - 1$. We have the following commutative diagram, where the horizontal rows are central group extensions

$$\begin{tikzcd}
1 \arrow[r] & \gamma_c(F_\infty)/\gamma_{c+1}(F_\infty) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varphi'"'] & F_\infty/\gamma_{c+1}(F_\infty) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varphi_c"'] & F_\infty/\gamma_c(F_\infty) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\varphi_{c-1}"'] & 1 \\
1 \arrow[r] & \gamma_c(F_\infty)/\gamma_{c+1}(F_\infty) \arrow[r] & F_\infty/\gamma_{c+1}(F_\infty) \arrow[r] & F_\infty/\gamma_c(F_\infty) \arrow[r] & 1
\end{tikzcd}$$

and where φ' is the restriction of φ_c to $\gamma_c(F_\infty)/\gamma_{c+1}(F_\infty)$. By induction, we have that $R(\varphi_{c-1}) = 1$. As the previous lemma shows that $R(\varphi') = 1$, we find that $R(\varphi_c) = 1$. □

Corollay 4.3. *Let* $c > 0$ *be a positive integer and let* φ'_c *be the automorphism of* $M_{\infty,c} = F_\infty/(\gamma_{c+1}(F_\infty)F_\infty^{(2)})$ *which is induced by* φ. *Then* $R(\varphi'_c) = 1$. *In particular,* $M_{\infty,c}$, *the free metabelian and nilpotent group of class* c *on an infinite countable number of generators does not have the* R_∞ *property.*

5. Free groups of infinite countable rank

In the previous section we treated free nilpotent groups of infinite countable rank. In fact, now we will generalize these results to all free groups of infinite countable rank. However, in this section the results will be less explicit then in the previous one.
On the other hand, in the appendix due to a result of R. Bianconi ([Bi]) it is shown that Proposition 5.1 of this section can even be generalized to free groups on any infinite set of generators.

Consider any surjective map $\theta : \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, \ldots\} \to F_\infty$ where F_∞ is the free group on the x_i in such a way that $\theta(x_i)$ is a word involving only the variables $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}$. So $\theta(x_0) = 1$, $\theta(x_1) = x_0^k$ (for some k), etc. It is not difficult to see that such a map does exist.

Now let $\varphi : F \longrightarrow F$ be the homomorphism determined by $\varphi(x_i) = \theta(x_i)x_i$. Then φ is an automorphism of F_∞ (We leave it to the reader to define a map $\psi : \{x_0, x_1, \ldots\} \to F_\infty$ inductively, determining a morphism of F_∞ which is the inverse of φ).
Now, for any w of F_∞, there exists an x_i such that

$$w = \theta(x_i) = \varphi(x_i)x_i^{-1}.$$

Said differently for any $v = w^{-1}$ of F_∞, we have that $v = w^{-1} = x_i\varphi(x_i)^{-1}$, for some x_i, which shows any $v \in F_\infty$ is Reidemeister equivalent to 1. We have shown:

Proposition 5.1. *With the notations of above, we have that* $R(\varphi) = 1$. *Hence,* F_∞ *does not have property* R_∞ *and neither does any of the groups* $N_{\infty,c}$, $M_{\infty,c}$ *or* $S_{\infty,k}$.

The construction above can be generalized to obtain for any positive integer n an automorphism $\varphi_n : F_\infty \to F_\infty$ which has Reidemeister number n.

Theorem 5.2. *Given a positive integer* n *then there exists an automorphism* $\varphi_n : F_\infty \to F_\infty$ *such that the number of Reidemeister classes of* φ_n *is* n.

Proof. Let us consider a map $\theta : \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, ...\} \to F_\infty$ as above, i.e. it is surjective and $\theta(x_i)$ is a word involving only the variables $x_0, x_1, ..., x_{i-1}$. Now, for $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ we let $J_i \subset \{x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots\}$ be the subset of those elements x_k such that the exponent sum of the word $\theta(x_k)$ is congruent to i mod n, and denote $W_i = \theta(J_i)$. So we obtain that $\{x_0, x_1, x_2, \ldots\} = J_0 \cup J_1 \cup \cdots \cup J_{n-1}$ and $F_\infty = W_0 \cup W_1 \cup \cdots \cup W_{n-1}$ (disjoint unions).

Define the morphism $\varphi_n : F_\infty \to F_\infty$ with $\varphi_n(x_k) = \theta(x_k)^{-1}x_k x_0^i$, where $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ is such that $x_k \in J_i$. Because of the properties of θ it is not hard to show that φ_n is an automorphism of F_∞.
Now we claim:

1. Any element $w \in F$ belongs to the Reidemeister class of one of the elements of the set $\{1, x_0, x_0^2, ..., x_0^{n-1}\}$.
2. The elements $\{1, x_0, x_0^2, ..., x_0^{n-1}\}$ belong to distinct Reidemeister classes.

Let us proof claim (1). Given $w \in F_\infty$ then there exist x_ℓ such that $\theta(x_\ell) = w$ where $x_\ell \in J_k$ for some $k \in \{0, ..., n-1\}$. Then x_0^k belongs to the same Reidemeister class as the element $x_\ell x_0^k \varphi_n(x_\ell)^{-1} = x_\ell x_0^k(\theta(x_\ell)^{-1}x_\ell x_0^k)^{-1} = x_\ell x_0^k x_0^{-k} x_\ell^{-1}\theta(x_\ell) = w$ and the result folllows.

To show part (2) observe that if two elements w_1, w_2 are in the same Reidemeister class, then they have the same exponent sum modulo n. This follows because for any $w \in F_\infty$ and any generator $x_k \in J_i$ (for some i) the related elements w and $x_k w(\varphi_n(x_k))^{-1} = x_k w(\theta(x_k)^{-1}x_k x_0^i)^{-1}$ have the same exponent sum from the defintion of J_i. So the proof is complete.

□

APPENDIX

A relevant ingredient to construct an automorphism of F_∞ with Reidemeister number 1 was the existence of a surjective map $\theta : \{x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, ..\} \to F_\infty$ where F_∞ is the free group on the x_i in such a way that $\theta(x_i)$ is a word involving only the variables $x_0, x_1, ..., x_{i-1}$. This result has been generalized by R. Bianconi as follows:

Theorem 5.3. *Let X be an infinite set and $F(X)$ the free group generated by X. Let $\kappa = |X|$ be the cardinality of X and enumerate $X = \{x_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$. Define $X_\alpha = \{x_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$. Then there is a surjection $h : X \to F(X)$, such that for all $\alpha < \kappa$, $h(x_\alpha) \in F(X_\beta)$, for some $\beta < \alpha$, assuming that $F(\varnothing) = \{1\}$.*

Proof. For convenience, we treat firstly the case $|X| = \omega = \aleph_0$.
Enumerate $F(X) = \{y_n : n < \omega\}$. For each $k < \omega$ let $n_k = \min\{m < \omega : y_k \in F(X_m)\}$. Now we inductively choose an increasing sequence $p_k > n_k$, $k < \omega$. Define $h : X \to F(X)$ by $h(x_{p_k}) = y_k$, and $h(x_j) = 1$, where $j < \omega$ are the indices not present in the sequence p_k. In this way we have proved the theorem for the denumerable case.

For the uncountable case we enumerate $F(X)$ conveniently in order to deal with both cases of regular and of singular cardinalities. We use $\aleph_\beta$ for the cardinal and ω_β for the corresponding (von Neumann) ordinal.
Let $|X| = \aleph_\gamma$, with $\gamma > 0$. Enumerate $F(X_{\omega_{\alpha+1}}) \setminus F(X_{\omega_\alpha}) = \{y_\beta : \omega_\alpha \le \beta < \omega_{\alpha+1}\}$, for each α such that $0 \le \alpha < \gamma$ Observe that for each limit ordinal $\lambda < \gamma$, $F(X_\lambda) = \bigcup_{\beta<\lambda} F(X_\beta)$, so that enumeration carries directly over to the limit cardinal case.
Let $h_0 : X_\omega \to F(X_\omega)$ be the surjection just obtained.
Suppose that we have already defined $h_{\omega_\beta} : X_{\omega_\beta} \to F(X_{\omega_\beta})$ satisfying the condition of the theorem, for $\beta < \gamma$.
if γ is a limit ordinal, then we define $h_{\omega_\gamma} : X_{\omega_\gamma} \to F(X_{\omega_\gamma})$ as the union of all the h_{ω_β} and we are done, because $\omega_\gamma = \bigcup_{\beta<\gamma} \omega_\beta$.
Otherwise, $\gamma = \beta + 1$ and we argue as follows.
For each ordinal η, $\omega_\beta \le \omega_{\beta+1}$, let $\varphi_\eta = \min\{\xi : y_\eta \in F(X_\xi)\}$. Because of the enumeration we have done in $F(X)$, we have that $\omega_\beta < \varphi_\eta < \omega_{\beta+1}$. By transfinite induction we can obtain an increasing sequence of ordinals $\psi_\eta > \varphi_\eta$, such that $\omega_\beta < \psi_\eta < \omega_{\beta+1}$, by choosing the smallest ordinal bigger than the previously chosen and bigger than the corresponding φ_η. Because $\aleph_{\beta+1}$ is a regular cardinal, this choice can be done for all $\eta < \omega_{\beta+1}$ (the regularity of the cardinal guarantees that there are plenty of ordinals to be chosen at limit ordinals η).
We define $h_{\beta+1}$ by sending x_{ψ_η} to y_η and the remaining elements of $X_{\beta+1} \setminus X_\beta$ to the unit element 1.
This finishes the proof. ☐

We can now show that a free group on any infinite set of generators does not have property R_∞.

Proposition 5.4. *Let X be an infinite set and $F(X)$ the free group generated by X. Let φ be the automorphism of $F(X)$ determined by $\varphi(x_\alpha) = h(x_\alpha)x_\alpha$, where h is the surjection from the theorem above. Then, the homomorphism φ is an automorphism. Further, we have that $R(\varphi) = 1$. Hence, $F(X)$ does not have property R_∞.*

Proof. For the first part let us define inductively a map $\psi : X \to F(X)$. Define $\psi(x_0) = x_0$. Suppose inductively that given a $\beta < \kappa$, with $\kappa = |X|$, we have defined ψ on X_β such that $\psi \circ \varphi(x_\alpha) = x_\alpha$. Define $\psi(x_\beta) = \psi(h(x_\beta))^{-1}x_\beta$. Then we certainly have $\psi \circ \varphi(x_\beta) = x_\beta$.
It is obvious that the morphism φ is surjective. Since the compostion $\psi \circ \varphi$ is the identity, φ is injective too and hence φ is an automorphism.
That $R(\varphi) = 1$ is similar to the countable case. ☐

REFERENCES

[Bi] Bianconi, R.: Private communication.
[BH] Bell J.P.; Hare, K.G.: On $\mathbb{Z}$–modules of Algebraic Integers, Canad. J. Math. Vol. 61 (2), 264–281 (2009)
[C] Chen, K.-T.: Integration in Free Groups, Annals of Mathematics, Vol 54, No 1, 147–162 (1951)

[GW] Gonçalves D.; Wong, P.: Twisted conjugacy classes in nilpotent groups, J. Reine Angew. Math. **633** , 11–27 (2009).
[FLT] Felshtyn, A.; Leonov, Y.; Troitsky, E.: Twisted conjugacy classes in saturated weakly branch groups. Geom. Dedicata 134, 61–73 (2008).
[J] Jiang B.: A primer of Nielsen fixed point theory. Handbook of topological fixed point theory, 617–645, Springer, Dordrecht, 2005.
[MKS] Magnus W ., Karrass A., Solitar D.: Combinatorial Group Theory. New York: Interscience Publishers, (1966).
[Pr] Prasolov V.V.: Polynomials. Algorithms and Computations in Mathematics 11, Berlin–Heidelberg, Springer–Verlag(2004)
[Ro] Roman'kov, V.: Twisted conjugacy classes in nilpotent groups, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra **215** , 664-671 (2011).
[W] Wong P.: Fixed point theory for homogeneous spaces - a brief survey. Handbook of topological fixed point theory, 265–283, Springer, Dordrecht, 2005.

KULeuven Kulak, E. Sabbelaan 53, 8500 Kortrijk, Belgium
E-mail address: `karel.dekimpe@kuleuven-kulak.be`

Departamento de Matemática–IME–USP, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brasil
E-mail address: `dlgoncal@ime.usp.br`